



Commission on Off - Highway Vehicles

Full Commission Meeting

June 5, 2015

Meeting Minutes ****APPROVED****

Meeting Locations: Nevada Public Utilities Commission
1150 East Williams Street
Hearing Room B
Carson City, Nevada

Nevada Public Utilities Commission
9075 West Diablo Drive
Suite 250, Hearing Room B
Las Vegas, Nevada

I. MEETING OF THE NEVADA COMMISSION ON OFF-HIGHWAY VEHICLES

1. CALL TO ORDER

Chair McKay called the meeting to order at 9:12am.

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The pledge was recited.

3. ROLL CALL OF THE COMMISSION MEMBERS

Commissioner Cox - Present, Las Vegas
Commissioner Elmore - Present, Carson City
Commissioner Baker - Present, Las Vegas
Commissioner Gerow - Present, Carson City
Commissioner Griggs - Absent
Commissioner Jackson - Present, Carson City
Commissioner Lambert - Present, via telephone
Commissioner Lee - Present, Las Vegas
Commissioner Richardson - Absent
Chair McKay - Present, Carson City
There is a quorum.

4. PUBLIC COMMENT

No public comment.

5. COMMISSIONER BRIEFINGS

Commissioners may brief the NCOHV on any emerging issues of interest to the NCOHV arising after the agenda is set. No deliberation or action will be taken on any information presented until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken.

Commissioner Baker, the survey that was linked on the website for the County Desert Preservation Program has been finalized. The person in charge of the survey stated she would come to a future meeting and present the results. Some of the results include: what the people want, where they go and what improvements they would like to see for Clark County. Some of the answers were increased signage, mapping, better restrooms and more trash receptacles. They also stated if Clark County developed a website for OHV users they would utilize it. Commissioner Baker created a matrix with every county in Nevada, their population and what their square mileage; just because there is a smaller population does not mean there could not be a large amount of trails in that county. Then she compared the registration information with those numbers. An interesting scenario to look at the whole picture, she will send it out to the Commissioners. For the law enforcement grants, it was interesting to know that Elko County has 2% of the state's population but almost 15% of the state's total square mileage and no one applied for a grant from Elko County.

Chair McKay, there will be some scheduled ethics training with the state in the near future. Another development is that there is a possibility that the Commission can dispense of some funds by direct competitive bidding by contractors versus grants. It might have some advantages to the grant process in some areas.

6. MEETING MINUTES

Commissioners may vote to approve, modify, or reject the minutes from the NCOHV meeting held on April 30, 2015.

MOTION: Commissioner Elmore makes a motion to Approve said minutes; seconded by Commissioner Gerow.

The motion passes unanimously.

7. PUBLIC WORKSHOP

The NCOHV will hold a Public Workshop to solicit Public Comment on the Draft Regulations returned from the Legislative Counsel Bureau to the Commission on April 28, 2015. The Draft Regulations have been posted on the NCOHV website since April 28, 2015, and the Notice of a Public Workshop was mailed, e-mailed, and faxed to all the required and interested parties on May 12, 2015. The Commission will hear all oral and written comments on the Draft Regulations by the Public and Commissioners and will incorporate them in our Notice of Intent to Adopt Regulation hearing on June 15, 2015, which was also properly noticed on May 12, 2015.

Chair McKay, the regulations will deal with grant applications, the awarding of grants and administration of funds for awarded grants. The proposed regulations relate to motor vehicles, establishing a program for the awarding of grants for projects concerning off highway vehicles, related trails, facilities and providing other matters properly relating there too. Existing statutory law directs the Commission on Off Highway Vehicles to adopt regulations setting forth the following: who may apply for a grant of money from the account on Off Highway Vehicles; procedures for awarding grants of money from the account; the manner in which applications for grants are to be submitted to the Commission; an applicant for such a grant of money must provide information satisfactory to the Commission that the applicant has consulted the appropriate state, local and federal government entities; acceptable performance of work on a project for which a grant is awarded. NRS 490.068 sets the acceptable regulations which carries out the statutory directive by creating a system for awarding grants and monitoring grantees in accordance with the requirements of NRS 490.068 and 490.069. Section 2 – 14, this regulation provides definitions which are applicable to awarding grants of money from the account of Off Highway Vehicles. Sections 15 – 19, set forth the provisions with which a person must comply to qualify to adopt to apply to the commission for a grant of money from the account. Sections 21 – 23, specify the information that must be included within and which much accompany an application requesting a grant of money from the account. Section 20, provides for the Commission to appoint a grant scoring committee to score the grant applications which are submitted. Sections 20 – 25, specify what must be contained in a request for grant applications that is issued by the Commission. Sections 25 – 34, set for the manner in which applications for grants of money from the account will be requested, publicized, evaluated, and approved or rejected. Sections 35 – 41, establish the manner in which the Commission will monitor and evaluate projects that are paid for in whole or in part by grant money that is dispersed from the account. For a general description, this process began around July of 2014 and draft regulations were submitted to Legislative Counsel Bureau in mid July 2014. The Commission received the draft regulations back from the Legislative Counsel Bureau in the end of November 2014; they were reviewed and sent back to the Legislative Counsel Bureau in January 2015. Those regulations had input from our Deputy Attorney General and the office of Grants. Those revisions were accepted almost in total by the Legislative Counsel Bureau. The regulations have been posted on the OHV website since the end of April 2015. This is the second workshop to be held on these regulations.

No public comments in Carson City.

No public comments in Las Vegas.

There was a question from Karen on the scoring committee. AG Palmer, the way the scoring committee will work, is the Commission will vote on who will be part of the scoring committee. It is up to the Commission to determine who it will be; all or some of the Commissioners, some of the nonvoting advisers or a combination of both. Commissioner Elmore asks for an update on the nonvoting advisers who have not participated? AG Palmer, it will be important for the Commission to reach out to those individuals. Let them know that through this regulation and statue what their level of participation is expected to be. Also make sure those individuals are still willing to participate, if not the Commission may want to reach out to someone else that fits within the description of the statue. Commissioner Elmore, the Commission has already reached out to them and there is no response. Will this letter have an accompanying letter with little more teeth to hopefully get a response? AG Palmer, they would want to be aware of the regulation and what the regulation shows of their requirement. Then actually ask them if they are still willing to participate. If they do not want to participate then the Commission will have to look elsewhere. Commissioner Elmore, the Commission did ask them that questions in either the 1st or 2nd letter that was sent out. How will this letter be different? AG Palmer, it can also be done with a phone call. Commissioner Lee, he agrees. The Commission has reached out to these individuals with no response. Does the silence mean that the Commission needs to move on? Would it be proper to divide the list up among the Commission members and have them make the phone calls? AG Palmer, this item should be placed on the next agenda. Chair McKay, the Department of Wildlife has been well represented in the Carson City for the past 4 or 5 months.

No further comment. The public workshop is closed 9:35am.

8. PRESENTATION FROM THE OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE ASSISTANCE

The Commission will receive information on the recommendations being made by the Nevada Office of Criminal Justice Assistance ("NOCJA") towards disbursing 20 percent of the NCOHV account funds for law enforcement purposes, pursuant to NRS 490.069. There will also be a discussion on the next steps the Commission, the NOCJA, and the Nevada Office of Grant Procurement, Coordination and Management Office will make. The Commission may move on recommendations of the NOCJA and fund the 2014 and 2015 law enforcement awards.

Charise Whitt, the Office of Criminal Justice Assistance opened the solicitation for the request of funding applications on March 2, 2015. There were email notifications, post cards and notifications from her office and the grants office. They did not send them to every law enforcement agency such as the school district police, legislative police, and airport authority but all of the police departments and sheriff's office's received notifications. They also took the list from the previous year's law enforcement applicants and notified them. It was also posted on the OCJA website. The applications were due April 10, 2015. The OCJA was told that there was approximately \$200,000.00 available for the law enforcement agencies and that is what was posted in the solicitation. There were nine funding applications received at \$216,000.00. Her office is recommending that all nine applicants be awarded in some fashion, either full or partial amounts. They are recommending that all projects submit a progress report to the Commission with several data requirements. Most of the law enforcement agencies stated that they would be using the time and equipment for tasks other than law enforcement related activities; the Commission may wish to consider asking for additional information as to what those other activities include. Her office would also recommend to have the agencies designate a person from their agency to review and evaluate the program, that was part of the solicitation but most of the agencies did not address that. Humboldt County Sheriff's office provided a proposal which incorporated several different areas, they provided good justifications, they addressed the enforcement activities very strongly compared to others and they have an education project within the activities. As the funding for fiscal year 2014 and 2015 is available, they are recommending the standard awarding practices should be followed; the Commission starts with the 2014 funds and fund the highest scoring applicants first. Once the 2014 funds are exhausted, use the 2015 funds. She has provided the electronic versions of the applications to the Chairman along with the original applications and two copies. When her office was scoring and reviewing, they took into consideration issues that she has heard at previous Commission meetings and what is required for enforcement. They have worked with all of these agencies in the past with other grants and they used historical performance as well. There is a listing with the attributes and efficiency of each application, along with the scores and the amount they are recommending. Commissioner Jackson, this seems to be thorough and he has read over them. Given the experience the OCJA has in processing these, he feels there is no point to go over it again and again.

MOTION: Commissioner Jackson makes a motion to accept the report and move forward with it; seconded by Commissioner Baker. Commissioner Baker, how do the Commissioners feel about fully funding the other two applications with some conditions attached? Commissioner Elmore, in looking at the prices for equipment, are the prices on track? The prices seem high to him. Commissioner Gerow, he agrees the prices did seem high. It is hard to judge because there is no itemization. Cherise Whitt, the breakdowns are in the applications. Several of the agencies supplied quotes with the applications and some did not, so her office did some research. Most of the quotes were right in line and some were high. Commissioner Gerow, he wants to see the Commission's money get spent as wisely as it can. Washoe County is looking for two on and off road bikes and they picked the most expensive bike out there. There are other options. But he is not sure if it is the Commission's place to tell them how to spend the money that they are allocating. Commissioner Jackson, will there be signage on the vehicles that states they were purchased by the OHV Commission? Cherise Whitt, that is a requirement that can be made when giving the award. Commissioner Jackson withdraws his motion. He would like to reevaluate these and set up a small committee; then bring the recommendations to the June 15th meeting. Commissioner Cox, how will the funds be dispersed? Chair McKay, the Office of Grants Procurement will process the applications and invoices. They will tell the Treasurer where to write the check to. AG Palmer, the Commission is making the disbursement based on the recommendation from the Office of Criminal Justice. Their recommendation is what the Commission must act upon. Commissioner Elmore, the Commission needs to be careful with any stipulations that they may ask for so they can get the most for their money. AG Palmer, the Office of Criminal Justice's recommendations are their recommendations. Now that the OCJA has heard comment from the Commission, do they want to change their recommendations or are they comfortable as is. AG Hastings, the OHV's ability to address this and make decisions about disbursement based on these recommendations. The degree to which the OHV can or cannot change things is not a question that the OCJA will answer. Commissioner Jackson, the recommendations have been made and the Commission can choose to accept or not accept them. This whole issue can be avoided by having our own committee, the committee will report back to the full commission on June 15th. Then the Commission will decide whether or not to accept those recommendations of the committee. AG Palmer, she disagrees with Commissioner Jackson. It states that the money shall be awarded based off the recommendations from the OCJA. Commissioner Jackson, he stands corrected. Commissioner Elmore, does the Commission have to act on this as a whole list or can it be done partially?

Chair McKay, the discussion on item 8 will be suspended and returned to later in the meeting.

14. TREASURER'S REPORT

The Commission Treasurer will report on the NCOHV's account activity, including income and expenses and current bank balances. Chair McKay, the April report is post on the website. For April, the beginning balance was \$1,141,476.50. There was one credit on April 20th for \$28,322.00 for a total of \$1,169,798.50. Expenses for April: \$1,131.00 for the secretary's hours in March; \$100.71 for copies and postage. The secretary's hours for April \$1,812.50 and \$140.00 for copies and postage. Total debits for April \$3,184.41. Ending balance for April \$1,166,614.09. The beginning balance for May \$1,166,614.09; two credits on May 1st \$25,874.00 and May 13th \$25,925.00 for a total of \$51,799.00. May expenses: secretary the \$1,218.00, supplies \$169.02 and \$2500.00 Capital Partners. Ending balance for May \$1,214,526.07. Commissioner Jackson, how close is the Commission on the 5% expenses? Chair McKay states that as of June the average is between 2 and 3 percent.

MOTION: Commissioner Jackson makes a motion to accept the treasurer's report; seconded by Commissioner Elmore. Motion passes unanimously.

Chair McKay, the Commission will now return to item 8.

8. PRESENTATION FROM THE OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE ASSISTANCE

Cherise Whitt, understanding the question and concerns of the Commission, the OCJA would be willing to meet with a sub committee to review any questions. To ensure Commission funds the awards in a timely manner, she would like to have the meeting sooner than later.

MOTION: Commissioner Jackson makes a motion that the Chair forms a subcommittee of no more than 3 people which would meet and bring recommendations back to the Commission on or before the June 15 meeting; seconded by Commissioner Gerow.

Commissioner Lee, discloses that he is the Sheriff of Lincoln County, he is the law enforcement representative for the Commission appointed by the Sheriff's and Chief's Association for the State. Lincoln County does have a grant submitted. Commissioner Lee abstains.

Motion passes unanimously.

Chair McKay, the committee of three will be Commissioner Jackson, Commissioner Gerow and Commissioner Elmore. Commissioner Jackson will chair this committee.

9. DISCUSSION OF THE PUBLIC EDUCATION/OUTREACH GUIDELINES THAT WILL GUIDE THE COMMISSION'S GRANT GUIDELINE PROCESS

Commissioner Darin Elmore, Head of the Public Education/Outreach Committee, and the commissioners will discuss what grant guidelines might be developed to effectively guide and score grant applicants applying for Public Education/Outreach grants from the Commission. There may be a motion to specify what grant guidelines are to be included in the grant guideline process to give to the NOGPCM for further development.

Commissioner Elmore, the Commission needs to pin point some specific marketing and promotional concepts so there can be some direction to those who are going to be taking care of this for the Commission. There is the potential option of doing some of this outside of the grant process through the competitive process. He would like to know the best way to get the information out to the specific user groups. As the sportsman representative he thinks a well rounded website and social media are two of the main things, along with printed materials that can be distributed for educational use. What is the best way to get the message out in the dealerships? Commissioner Lambert, there has been a couple of successful campaigns that affect his user group which has been postcards and tri-fold handouts. Commissioner Cox, likes the ideas of brochures and he would like to see a smaller brochure that could be sent out in the registration envelopes when DMV sends them out. Commissioner Lee, likes brochures and he would like to see something made for law enforcement so that those departments that have not taken the initiative to create handouts have these to hand out. The Mesquite Police Department created a really nice tri-fold with good information. Commissioner Gerow, currently his stores try to educate all of the new purchasers since his stores register everything new or used. For the last two or more years they have built a tri-fold handout which explains what the process is, how to handle it and how the dealership can help. It would be nice to have some participation with DMV. The brochure should be the same state wide so that it can be given from law enforcement or from the dealerships. Commissioner Jackson, PSA are a good idea and the can be produced pretty cheaply. A lot of dealerships have televisions in their shops and the PSA could be played in the dealerships. Commissioner Elmore, he would like to have a standard 1/2 page and full page advertisement that would be available when the opportunity comes up to use it, like the NDOW booklet for example. Commissioner Jackson, the Commission may want to stream line this process and put it out to bid for a public relations firm to take care of all of these issues. Commissioner Elmore, he is in the process of finding out if the Commission can do that. He will take the ideas and have something put together for the next time this is on the agenda.

Doreen Rigsby, in her experience people will read the front of a brochure and then toss it in the truck, whether it is a post card or a tri-fold. But depending on the situation both can be useful.

10. DISCUSSION OF THE GRANT GUIDELINES AND SCORING PROCESSES IN THE COMMISSION'S GRANT PROCESS

Commissioner Cox, Head of the Regulation/Grant Guidebook Committee and the commissioners will discuss and decide what grant guidelines might be developed to effectively guide and score grant applicants for NCOHV Grants. There may be a motion to specify what grant guidelines are to be included in the grant process to give the NOGPCM for further development.

Commissioner Cox, it was discussed at the last meeting that guideline book that was developed is pretty close to where it should be. For this meeting he would like to create a list of priorities that would go in the book at the bottom of page 3 and the top of page 4. A couple of suggestions to start with; Nevada off highway vehicle users must be the primary beneficiaries of any grant awarded, grant projects that are submitted legally recognized Nevada off highway vehicles clubs registered with the state of Nevada be given a high priority, projects that demonstrate a high need and that have all applicable permits and approvals be given high priority. Commissioner Baker, because of the low registration, every grant should have something that identifies more outreach and education. Our number one goal needs to be more outreach to get more registrations. Chair McKay, this could be more direction to the grants office and not a grant guideline; minor oversights in the grant application process that can be handled in the contract negotiations phase shall not be considered grounds for an incomplete application. The projects that already have the necessary approvals, permits, club support and are ready to begin will be given a high priority. He has had a lot of input from people saying that mapping in the state is an important process. If the project coordinated with BLM, they have an approval of what needs to be mapped, and that they will accept the data in their process then that would be a good priority too. If there are projects in highly desired off highway vehicles areas that need environmental studies to expand or maintain the riding areas, they be give a high priority. Any studies that might help to understand the OHV situation and impact in Nevada, how to better capture the OHV and business participation in the state should be considered. Commissioner Cox, if we agree on these point then we should take action so that it can be finalized. Since some Commissioners did not get the list, it can distribute this and put it on the agenda for the next meeting.

13. PRESENTATION FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES

The Commission will receive a report from a representative of the DMV on the status of NCOHV state registrations.

Doreen Rigsby, the revenue report that follows through for fiscal year 15 up through May 31. Total revenue for OHV \$603,657.25; the DMV's are \$416,422.25; total revenue coming into that section is \$1,020,079.50; the expenses total minus DMV revenue \$182,259.86; total registrations through 4/31/2015 40,951 actively registered OHV's. Commissioner Cox, in looking at the report from last month which showed total revenue of \$548,420.85 and then looking at this month's report with revenue of \$603,657.25. That shows the OHV should have received \$55,236.40; but in the Treasurer's report it shows deposits of \$51,799.00. He is trying to understand the discrepancies that he has seen over the past several months. Doreen Rigsby, the DMV has 10 specific buckets that are all associated with OHV funds that are collected they are divided into the buckets where they belong. The number provided is the total. The deposits should match up in June; the deposit of \$20,000.00 is from May 1 - 15. Discussion ensued about the money. Chair McKay, can Commissioner Cox please put together a list of the discrepancies and send it to him and the DMV will report back to him also. The results can be reviewed at the next meeting.

12. DISCUSSION ABOUT USING SOCIAL MEDIA AND OTHER ADVERTISING FORMS TO INFORM PUBLIC ABOUT THE NEVADA OHV PROGRAM.

The Commission may hear from Edward Vento and discuss about using social media and other advertising to increase awareness about the NVOHV program.

Edward Vento, managing partner of EC Vento Company, they specialize in public affairs, government affairs and marketing and research. Commissioner Jackson, can you outline how you would increase the Commission's exposure to the general public and OHV user with the use of social media. Edward Vento, social media is an opt in event so you have to convince people to come to join Facebook and Twitter groups so then information can be directed to them. One of the most important aspects of social media is how you do that. Commissioner Jackson, would you go to people who have large following on Facebook and friend them and then ask them to post for OHV? Edward Vento, there are three or four directions in which to do that. He would reach out to vendors asking them to put out a blast on their Facebook page and Twitter to ask them to follow the OHV. You can also rent email's of people who are into off highway sports and can blast out Facebook and Twitter to those emails. There are also sweepstakes to get people to like the page. It is up to the Commission on what they want to do. Commissioner Jackson, what do the costs look like? Edward Vento, it all depends on how big you want to go. In the beginning it would be about \$1000.00 to get started. Once you start adding printing things the cost goes up. Creating the pages and an email blast for a kick start should be more than \$500.00 to \$1000.00, and then you also need someone to maintain the sites. Commissioner Gerow, what yours suggesting is that you would set up an actual Facebook page for the Commission and then would you also maintain? Edward Vento, yes he is capable of doing that. He does not want to assume and say that he would be the one to do it since he knows this will go out to bid. What he would suggest is that if there is no staff that can maintain the page, which ever company wins the bid that it includes maintenance. Commissioner Gerow, what does your company charge for maintenance? Edward Vento, social media could be maintained for \$1000.00 a year. The first year including start up and maintenance would be about \$1,000.00 and then \$1,000.00 a year following for maintenance.

15. DISCUSSION OF FORMING AN EXECUTIVE SECRETARY SEARCH COMMITTEE OR OTHER OPTIONS FOR FILLING THE POSITION

Kim Miller, NCOHV Executive Secretary, has accepted a full-time position with another employer. She has offered to continue assisting the NCOHV for an interim period. The Commission will discuss the formation of an Executive Secretary Search Committee or other options to fill this requirement. The Commission may make a motion to form the search committee and begin the replacement process.

Chair McKay, the number one applicant from the last round of interview has expressed some interest to work for the Commission, we can appoint someone under temporary authority as secretary or we begin the job search process. Commissioner Jackson, if the person who was our number one pick from last round is willing to take the job, can we hire her temporarily and then place it on the agenda for the next meeting to hire her? AG Palmer, she will need to look into the process and see if the Commission needs to follow any of the state personal hiring rules. Commissioner Baker, last round she worked with the State and they posted the job, provided the applications to her and then she let the State know who was selected. She spoke with the number one candidate from last time and she is very interested. She is looking for it to be a bigger job but did not discuss how much revenue she was looking for. It was also discussed with her about taking on the grant management and she is very interested in that and has some experience. It also needs to be discussed if the position will include the grant management and what monies would be available for that. Chair McKay, there is the administration account which is 5% of the overhead and there is sufficient funding if she wanted to take the grant application process on. Commissioner Elmore, will Kim continue to work with us until we can get some questions answered. Chair McKay, Kim is willing to work with the Commission the only thing is her availability at the meetings. Commissioner Jackson, there was a temporary secretary last year, Joanne Shoemaker, he has asked her to take over temporarily and she said yes. Chair McKay, we need a few volunteers to work on this topic. Commissioner Baker, Commissioner Jackson and Chair McKay volunteer for this with Commissioner Baker as Chair. Commissioner Elmore, does there need to be a full committee or can it just be one person? AG Palmer, she is comfortable with just her and Commissioner Baker working together. Chair McKay, AG Palmer will look into how to take care of this issue legally and report back at the next commission meeting.

MOTION: Commissioner Elmore makes a motion to appoint Commissioner Baker to work with AG Palmer to explore the executive secretary options moving forward. In the mean time, we ask that Kim Miller continue to assist the commission for the next 30 days; seconded by Commissioner Gerow.

Motion passes unanimously.

11. 2015 LEGISLATIVE SESSION UPDATES

The NCOHV may discuss the 2015 legislative session and implications for the Commission.

Will Adler, Capitol Partners, at the beginning of session Robin Titus proposed a bill that would essentially kill the OHV Commission. On the premise that it was a bad bill to begin with, there were several months of discussion and working it out with her and it ended in have a great compromise AB217. That bill did not survive which is not a bad thing. The other bill that directly affects the Commission is SB492; which is a bill from DMV as a way to get direct payment and then transfer the rest of the funds to the OHV Commission. That bill passed and there is a direct funding mechanism between DMV and OHV Commission. Commissioner Jackson, what happened to Mr. Settlemeyers' bill, he offered us to add in amendments. Will Adler, the first amendment we offered was declared unnecessary because it was already covered in the last session. He added in a few more amendments and one of the two was declared ungermane to the bill's original intent; it was changing from person to applicant. It was stated that we were not able to get our stuff together in time and we were not allowed to use that jacket. Commissioner Jackson, he disagrees because he is the one who told Capitol Partners that they could use that jacket, which is what Settlemeyer told him. Because he was not appointed by the Commission to do Legislative issues, all he could do was keep on begging Capitol Partners to do it and it did not get done. If he had been consulted then some of the things would have gotten through. Will Adler, the first amendment drafted was written together with Commissioner Jackson and it was something that had already been covered in 2013. LCB declared it as a non issue and that it did not have to be put into NRS at all. The second draft of amendment rounds was after the first rejection. He had about two hours to write a new amendment and he wrote two new amendments. He was not personally contacted but Capitol Partners might have been. It is a shame that the amendments did not get used but there is always next round, AB217 was the priority. Commissioner Jackson, he would like to continue this agenda item until next meeting and he would like to provide everyone on the Commission with a link to one of the transportation committee meetings. Commissioner Cox, now that the bill is in place for direct funding is there something in that bill that still guarantee's the Commission income? Chair McKay, the Commission lost the 85/15 guarantee, now it is their revenue over their expenses to be dispersed on a quarterly basis. Commissioner Cox, how do we know their expenses will not increase to the point where the Commission suffers? Chair McKay, there is the monthly report and continued dialog with DMV to see if there is a change. There are no proposed changes at this time. Commissioner Jackson, if you review the link, the bill is SB492 and went to Assembly Transportation; the link is the actual committee meeting. Commissioner Gerow, where did the tax credit end up? Chair McKay, it was address as an issue of concern and well as all of the other but it did not get up on any amendment. Commissioner Jackson, it was an amendment to SB492 and he strongly recommends people listen to the committee meeting. Commissioner Elmore, he was somewhat involved in this session and it was eye opening to see how fluid everything is, what happens and does not happen and the power at play. We were behind the eight ball timing wise, we had a

long list of wants and there was a large group of people that would like to have seen us go away instead of get fixed. That needs to get fixed so that we can find friends to support us in two years.

16. PUBLIC COMMENT

No Comment

17. NEXT SCHEDULED MEETING

The next scheduled meeting is for June 15, 2015.

18. ADJOURNMENT

MOTION: Commissioner Jackson makes a motion to adjourn; seconded by Commissioner Elmore.
The motion passes unanimously.
The meeting adjourned at 11:50am