



Commission on Off - Highway Vehicles

Full Commission Meeting

October 27, 2014

Meeting Minutes ****DRAFT****

Meeting Locations: Legislative Counsel Bureau
 401 South Carson Street, Room 2135
 Carson City, Nevada 89701

 Grant Sawyer State Office Bldg.
 555 East Washington Avenue, Room 4412E
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

I. MEETING OF THE NEVADA COMMISSION ON OFF-HIGHWAY VEHICLES

1. CALL TO ORDER

Chair McKay called the meeting to order at 1:38 pm.

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The pledge was recited.

3. ROLL CALL OF THE COMMISSION MEMBERS

Commissioner Cox - Present, Las Vegas
Commissioner Elmore - Present, Carson City
Commissioner Baker - Present, Las Vegas
Commissioner Griggs - Absent
Commissioner Gerow - Absent
Commissioner Jackson - Present, Carson City
Commissioner Lambert - Present, Carson City
Commissioner Lee - Present, via phone
Chair McKay - Present, Carson City
Commissioner Richardson - Absent

There is a quorum.

4. PUBLIC COMMENT

Carson City - Scott Gerz, Nevada Trail Stewards - Discouraged in regards to receiving notification late yesterday afternoon and he is not sure how many people have had a chance to review all of the documentation. The Grant Application Guide caught his attention right away and there seems to be a few places in the application where it appears like it was going back to a subjective application which did not make him happy. It still seems that it is the goal of the Commission to have a subjective grant process which is not what has been discussed or the way the community feels about it. The Trail Stewards have heard quite a bit about how they would expect to see a strict scored grant process. Chair McKay states that the guide will be discussed in item 10 and the documentation was included as background information and this was the lastest version available to the Commission.

Las Vegas - No comment.

Chair McKay introduces Raelene Palmer who is the new representative from the Deputy Attorney General's office. Raelene Palmer states that she has been with the office of the Attorney General for a little over 7 years.

Chair McKay states that he has spoken with the auditors from the management agency and the release of the final report should be in approximately two weeks. The report will be published, agendized and discussed in the near future. Chair McKay continues to state that he spoke with the Legislative Counsel Bureau, the draft regulations should be completed in a few weeks. Once the Commission has the regulations, they will be published, agendized and discussed in the near future.

5. MEETING MINUTES

The Commission can approve, modify or reject the meeting minutes from the NCOVH meetings held on: March 13, 2014, April 9, 2014, and August 7, 2014.

Commissioner Jackson believes the minutes from March 13, 2014 and April 9, 2014 were tabled at a previous meeting.

MOTION: Commissioner Elmore makes a motion to approve the March 13, 2014 minutes. Commissioner Jackson seconds the motion for discussion. Commissioner Jackson states he has briefly reviewed these minutes. He needs more time to review these minutes and said minutes should be tabled until the next meeting. Chair McKay states that the March 13, 2014 will be tabled and placed on an agenda in the near future.

MOTION: Commissioner Jackson makes a motion to approve the April 9, 2014 minutes. Commissioner Elmore seconds the motion for discussion. Commissioner Lambert states he did not have adequate time to review said minutes.

Chair McKay calls for the vote. Commissioner Lambert abstains.

The motion passes.

MOTION: Commissioner Jackson makes a motion to approve the August 7, 2014 minutes. Commissioner Elmore seconds for discussion.

Chair McKay calls for the vote.

The motion passes unanimously.

6. REPORT FROM THE DMV COMMITTEE

The Commission will hear discussion from the DMV Committee in regards to the September 8, 2014 meeting. Possible discussion may include but is not limited to the following topics: Department of Motor Vehicles proposed Bill Draft Request which may increase costs to the NVOHVC in order to maintain registration and decal issuance. The Commission may also discuss other aspects of the Department of Motor Vehicles Bill Draft Request. The Commission will discuss ideas that could include supporting the Bill Draft Request, additional ideas that would increase support for the Bill Draft Request and/or specific opposition to the proposed Bill Draft Request. Possible action may include accepting, rejecting, or offering suggestions on how the Nevada Department of Motor Vehicles Bill Draft Request could serve both groups together and give further direction as to how the Commission wishes to proceed concerning this Bill Draft Request.

Chair McKay states that on October 7, 2014 there was a meeting held at Peter Kruger's office of the DMV Committee. There was not a quorum present. On advice from the AG's office the meeting did not exist and there will be no minutes from that meeting.

Commissioner Jackson states that DMV has made a proposal to be paid on the costs of running the OHV decal program. The issue becomes what are the real costs involved. Also, DMV wanted to have a deposited account of \$150,000.00 which they would draw down from for the costs. There would be no approval or control from the Commission. He thinks it is a good idea for DMV to be able to cover their costs but he is uncomfortable with their proposal for the lack of oversight and administrative oversight. Sean McDonald - Department of Motor Vehicles, states the bill draft request proposes that DMV covers their expenses first and the rest of the money would go to the Commission. The soul intent is to meet expenses each year to ensure the program continues to run. Commissioner Jackson states that one of the proposals in the next agenda item would remove the distribution of the decals from DMV and place it with another entity. DMV would retain all ability to issue titles. The titles are currently paying for themselves. Sean McDonald states that the total dollar amount received under the proposal, whether it is a title or registration, would be combined and only cover costs. If there were only titles and there was no registration program, the titles portion would be sufficient to run that part of the program. Commissioner Jackson states the committee did discuss the idea of a joint proposal with the head of DMV and that idea was rejected. As a Commission, they can ask for legislation or alterations to legislation to put their own proposal together which would cover both DMV costs and also make the Commission comfortable. Commissioner Baker asks if the Commission has been provided the financial

breakdown of the current expenditures and costs from the DMV for the registration program. Sean McDonald states that they have several itemized breakdowns and can provide those numbers. He would be happy to meet with any commission members to discuss the projections. Commissioner Cox asks if the DMV provide on a monthly or quarterly basis, the exact number of registrations that they process and the money trail behind that. In looking at the deposits from the DMV lately, the commission has received three of the largest deposits. It appears as if registrations are going up and not down. Commissioner Lambert states one item that would benefit the state greatly is attestation; an affidavit to be able to attest on a cell phone and take a picture of the VIN number. This could eliminate the backlog and difficulty for the people in the rural areas and create higher compliance. The next item that would make the user groups happy is if the transaction had immediate rewards. Also if the DMV could work on a system to accomplish those goals and objectives which would allow the Commission to feel comfortable in letting DMV have the operational costs with an exit strategy that both parties could agree upon. The other item he would like see is that Nevada residents have Nevada decals, period. Commissioner Jackson states that item 6 is an action item based on whether or not to support the DMV bill draft request. Commissioner Elmore states that his hesitancy with this bill draft request is that he cannot in good faith support or not support something that has not been seen in writing. Sean McDonald states that he does not know when the final draft of the bill will be available. Commissioner Jackson asks if any members have a problem with tabling this item until the final draft is available. Further discussion ensued in regards to the bill draft request. With no objections Commissioner Jackson tables this item for a future agenda.

7. DISCUSSION OF THE LEGISLATIVE PRIORITIES FOR THE NCOHV THE 2015 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

The Commission will discuss legislative priorities and the possibility of submitting their own Bill Draft Request. Possible discussion may include but is not limited to the following topics:

- The requirement of Nevada residents to have Nevada Off-Highway Vehicle decals
- Require the Nevada DMV to perform vehicle inspections as an option
- Exploring other Nevada Off-Highway Vehicle decal sales options, including privatization by the NVOHVC
- The possibility of collecting some state gas tax revenue
- The possibility of eliminating late fees on OHV's
- What other state OHV programs are doing and their applicability to Nevada
- Measures that could increase convenience and service to OHV users
- An optional sticker for Jeeps/4WD and representation on the NVOHV Commission.

Possible action may include approval or rejection of legislative priorities and the possibilities of a Bill Draft Request, with a prioritized list of ideas for inclusion with direction for the Legislative Committee and lobbyist on how to proceed.

Chair McKay would like to have a general discussion of what the Commission and the public would like to see as priorities in regards to these topics. Commissioner Jackson states that right now for the Commission to assemble its own bill draft request it is too late. There are several bill draft requests which have already been put forward and the general language of those bill draft requests would cover some of the items stated above. He would like to discuss privatization of decals. A person can bring their title to a designated location, fill out paperwork, and purchase a decal out of the register. In regards to representation on the Commission for four wheel drives, they cannot be required to have a sticker unless they have representation on the Commission. To get the representation that would have to be adopted by the legislature. Commissioner Lambert states that Nevada does not have a title requirement for vehicles that have not transacted lately. Commissioner Baker asks if the committee was going to present some of the formal recommendations to the full Commission. Chair McKay states that today they are looking for a group discussion of the Commission to decide what the legislative priorities should be. A committee will be formed later. Commissioner Cox states that he would vote against the requirement of all Nevada residents to have a Nevada OHV decal. It would be unfair to certain groups. Chair McKay states that it would be Nevada residents having a Nevada sticker. There would still be reciprocity with other states. Commissioner Baker would like to know why is it so easy to get a California decal and how can we make it like that here in Nevada. The self verification of the VIN makes it easy would increase compliance. Commissioner Elmore states that concept of making it easy is nice, he is not sure that easy exists. Commissioner Lambert states that a California nonresident license is easy to obtain, a person pays \$30.00 and they hand you a decal. The number one fault in Nevada is the wait time for the decal. Chair McKay states for purposes of discussion does anyone have an objection to the requirement of Nevada residents to have an off highway vehicle sticker? This item will be a priority and at the end the priorities will be placed in order.

Second, the requirement of the DMV to perform vehicle inspections as an option. Chair McKay states that it is not a good choice and the program of attestation and affidavit is a better idea. Commissioner Jackson states that only works if the Commission gets what they want. He feels this should be included. DMV does inspections for several different vehicles and trailers, if they have to charge and inspection fee then so be it if it will make it easier. This could be a secondary choice if one of the other items does not go through. Commissioner Cox agrees with Commissioner Jackson's statement. Commissioner Lambert does not like this idea. He wants to sign, pay, and leave and that is what the public wants. Commissioner Jackson states the discussion is not only about the decal but also about registration/title. Right now if one wants a title, they cannot take their OHV to DMV to have the inspection completed. Commissioner Lee states that he did not realize there was a difference between the VIN inspection for the title and the registration. If there is no title and just a decal it defeats the purpose of inspection. Sean McDonald states is that if the DMV did perform OHV inspections at DMV locations there is the highway fund versus the OHV fund problem. Also depending on the volume there may be the need for added staff which would be an additional cost. Commissioner Lee states that once the majority of the older OHV's are registered and titled there should not be a large influx of needed VIN inspections.

MOTION: Commissioner Jackson makes a motion to keep this section requiring the DMV to perform vehicle inspections as part of the legislative agenda priorities. Commissioner Cox seconds the motion.

Motion passes unanimously.

Chair McKay returns to the first item and asks for a motion.

MOTION: Commissioner Lambert makes a motion that Nevada residents be required to have Nevada Off Highway vehicle decals. Commissioner Elmore seconds the motion.

Motion passes unanimously.

Third, exploring other Nevada Off Highway Vehicle decal sales options, including privatization by the NVOHVC. Commissioner Jackson states that if there is going to be a vote on the general principle of this item is it a good idea but he does think the Commission needs to explore it more and come up with a better plan. Commissioner Lambert states that there are several issues that are unsettled right now: there is no bill draft request from DMV to review and there is about to be an election.

MOTION: Commissioner Lambert makes a motion to table these issues until after the election and the next meeting when there is a draft bill resolution back from DMV so everyone know what they are talking about.

Motion dies for lack of a second.

Chair McKay states that maybe he was misunderstood with this item; all he wanted was to have a discussion about legislative priorities for this session.

Commissioner Jackson states that it is too late for a Commission bill draft request; these are general principles except for the decal sales. In general the Commission needs to look at bills going through and see if they already contain some of these items and then support an action in general. There will be the opportunity to assemble some language suggestions to put into existing bill draft request. If the Commission waits too long then this opportunity will also be gone.

MOTION: Commissioner Jackson makes a motion that the Commission supports any legislative action that allows vehicle decals to be sold on a privatized or retail basis. Further that the Commission put forward its own plan of how the decals would be sold on a private or retail basis. Commissioner Elmore seconds for discussion. Commissioner Lambert offers a friendly amendment that he is uncomfortable with the word recommend and the Commission should consider this and not recommend this. Chair McKay agrees. Commissioner Jackson does not accept the friendly amendment but will change the word to support. Commissioner Lambert does not like the word support.

Chair McKay calls for the vote.

Commissioner Lambert and Commission Lee oppose.

The motion passes.

Four, the possibility of collecting some state gas tax revenue. Commissioner Cox agrees in trying to get some of this money. Commissioner Jackson states that there is also federal tax revenue. If there is a vote to support this, there is some question as to who controls the money. He requests the AG to look into this law and advise the Commission. If she is not allowed to this, then at a later date contact a private attorney and have them advise the Commission. AG Palmer states the agenda is addressing state tax revenue but if it is something that is wanted to be looked at it can be placed on the agenda for a future date. She will find out if that is an item that she can answer for the Commission. Chair McKay offers to table this item until more information is available. Larry Calkins - Nevada Four Wheel Drive Association, state that he opened this item with his letter from a few months ago. In regards to opening the Commission to street legal four wheel drive vehicles, his thought was

that since they are the largest consumer of gasoline the amount of tax that could be possibly collected and deemed to be used off road would be available in a much larger percentage.

Chair McKay states that this item will be tabled until more information can be gathered.

Five, the possibility of eliminating late fees on OHV's. Chair McKay thinks that this matter may be in the DMV's bill draft request. Sean McDonald states that their bill draft request is proposing the funding split and he does not think there is an item in there to deal with late fees. Commissioner Lambert states that during the last session there was discussion in regards to ranchers only needing to purchase a decal when they left their property. Many people were not aware of the ramifications of the revised statues not matching the regulations. Commissioner Jackson states there is a bill draft request that would eliminate late fees. What is being asked here is if the Commission would support such legislation. Commissioner Baker still likes the auto renewal program. Once the process is established there needs to be a late fee so the people are enticed to renew. Commissioner Lambert states that there is an online renewal application. It is not up to the Commission, it is how the revised statue was written.

MOTION: Commissioner Jackson makes a motion that the Commission support any legislation or part of legislation that moves to eliminate late fees from OHV's. Commissioner Lambert seconds the motion.

Chair McKay calls for the vote.

Commissioner Baker opposes.

The motion passes.

Six, what other state OHV programs are doing and their applicability to Nevada. Commissioner Jackson asks for this item to be removed as it does not require legislative support. Chair McKay states this item has been removed.

Seven, measures that could increase conveniences and services to OHV users.

MOTION: Commissioner Lambert makes a motion to move forward with this item. Commissioner Elmore seconds the motion.

Commissioner Jackson states this does not belong in here and it comes down to a matter of opinion. This item could be tabled or rejected, if a person comes up with a measure that would help then it can be voted upon.

Chair McKay calls for the vote.

There is confusion as to what this item is about.

Commissioner Lambert withdraws his motion. Chair McKay states that this item will be with removed.

Eight, an option sticker for Jeeps/4WD and representation on the NVOHV Commission. Commissioner Lambert supports the 4WD members having representation and sees no purpose for an option decal. Commissioner Jackson states the word optional should be stricken and if that word is stricken then he is in support of any legislation that would allow this to happen. Commissioner Lee wants to be clear, it states Jeeps. If these people are getting decal and are under the OHV laws they no longer need to have license plates on their Jeeps, they no longer have to have insurance, they have to wear a helmet inside the Jeep, and they can only drive on designated roadways. A 15 year old kid could drive the Jeep as long as he was accompanied by another Jeep next to him by a licensed adult. These are things to think about, this needs to be thought out before anything is voted on. He supports them being part of the Commission but the Commission needs to be careful if the vehicles are already street legal. Commissioner Jackson states that there is already a separate designation for large ATV's and all the issues that Commissioner Lee brought up are for the legislature to decide. What is being asked here is if the Commission would support 4WD and Jeeps onto the Commission. Larry Calkins states that if he went back to his membership and told them that they were required to have an OHV decal on their vehicle after they are already paying state taxes, they would not be happy. The word optional here, is it optionally available for someone who wants to support the OHV Commission. He is asking if a person can optionally purchase a decal and he is also asking if the decal can be modified to include a off road highway vehicle type Jeep vehicle. Commissioner Elmore agrees with Commissioner Lee's statement. He does believe that representation is the key and if this group feels excluded, they should not be. The concept here should be to support a revamping of the representation of the Commission. Commissioner Lambert states that the Jeep community is already invited to purchase decals. He also encourages the Commission to change the artwork which is a non legislative activity. Scott Gerz asks that while we are on this topic he would like to get a list of who is on the Commission and what area they represent.

MOTION: Commissioner Jackson makes a motion for the Commission to support any legislation which will offer an optional decal for Jeeps/4WD's and will also support any legislation which will appoint a representative of the Jeep/4WD community. Commissioner Elmore seconds for discussion. Commissioner Lambert does not like the idea of another decal program when they are able to join the current decal program. Commissioner Jackson states that it would not be a secondary decal program. This is for an optional decal; for Jeeps/4WD's and also supports legislation that would allow a representative from the

Jeep/4WD community. Commissioner Cox offer a friendly amendment to remove the word optional as the decal already exists. Commissioner Jackson accepts the friendly amendment and will restate his motion. The motion is that the Commission would support legislation which would allow or create representation of the Jeep/4WD community on the NVOHVC. Commissioner Elmore seconds the motion.

Chair McKay calls for the vote

The motion passes unanimously.

8. LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE

The Chairman shall appoint members to the Legislative Committee in order to prepare for the upcoming 2015 Legislative session.

Chair McKay states that at this time he is not ready to appoint a Legislative Committee. This committee will be populated at a future meeting.

9. POSSIBLE RESPONSE BY NOHVC TO THE PROPOSED JOINT REID/HELLER SAGE GROUSE CONSERVATION BILL

The Commission will review and discuss a proposed draft letter to be sent from the Commission in response to Senator Reid and Senator Heller's joint proposed bill for Sage Grouse Conservation in Nevada. The draft letter may be sent to Senator Reid and Senator Heller and other interested parties. Possible action may include approving, amending or rejecting the draft letter. Chair McKay read said letter into record and it is attached as Article A.

MOTION: Commissioner Lambert makes a motion to move forward and send the letter out. He would also like to address a letter to the Sage Brush Eco Counsel and the County governments after the election; that way notifying not only the counties but the state legislators too. Commissioner Cox seconds the motion. Chair McKay states that portions of this letter are from the Blue Ribbon Collation Sage Grouse letter written by Don Amidor. Commissioner Elmore states that he does not think people are aware of the drastic impact of this bill for every county in Nevada. If one reads the draft, the words Sage Grouse are two of the most infrequently used words in the bill. He encourages everyone to read the bill and become aware of the outcome. His comment on the letter is that the Commission is not biologists and the voice should be limited to OHV related issues. One issue that was missed is the economic impact that recreation and OHV users have in the rural communities. It comes down to economic, recreation and wilderness impacts. Commissioner Elmore makes a friendly amendment to work on a draft with the Chair. Commissioner Lambert accepts and Commissioner Cox accepts as the seconder.

Chair McKay calls for the vote.

The motion passes unanimously.

Larry Calkins states that he has been very involved with this bill and is a member of the Blue Ribbon Collation. This bill was astounding several months ago when I first read it. It is not a Sage Grouse bill, it is a Wilderness bill. The wilderness study areas that affected not in the Sage Grouse habit area. This bill is looking at mountain tops and the birds do not live up there. Commissioner Cox asks to put something on the website in regards to this issue. Chair McKay states to publish the letter on the website and have links to other websites with information. Commissioner Elmore states to post the letter on the website and to have a standing topic on the agenda for new and upcoming emergent OHV issues. Also, he would like to have an OHV current events page on the website. Commissioner Jackson agrees but feels the Commission needs to error on the side of caution. The Commission can print the letter because it is part of the record but the Commission is not a political organization that takes political stands. AG Palmer states that the discussion has veered off topic. Chair McKay states the letter will be worked on.

11. DMV REPORT

The Commission will receive a report from a representative of the Department of Motor Vehicles. Items that may be discussed include but are not limited to the state OHV registration status.

Doreen Rigsby - DMV, as of October 24, 2014 the total registrations (starting from the year 2012) are 46,403, renewals are 25,871 and duplicate sticker decals are 362. The total numbers of titles are 17,862. The OHV's last amount deposited \$30,026.25 on 10/29/2014. Currently at a one day turn around. Doreen corrects herself, the last deposit was on 10/10/2014 and the next deposit will be on 10/29/2014 with the amount unknown. Commissioner Cox states the \$30,026.25 shows being deposited at the first part of the month and on 10/15/2014 there shows to be a deposit of \$27,378.50. Commissioner Lambert agrees. Sean McDonald states to reconfirm that DMV is showing \$30,026.25 on 10/10/2014 and your records show for

10/15/2014 \$27,378.50. Does the Commission not show the 10/10/2014 deposit? Commissioner Cox states that he shows in the beginning of October. Discussion continued about the specific dollar amounts. Commissioner Lambert and Doreen agreed to meet to review numbers and straighten things out.

12. TREASURER'S REPORT

The Commission will receive a report from the Commission Treasurer. Items that may be discussed include but are not limited to last month's income and expenses and current bank balances.

Commissioner Lambert states for the record there was \$924,987.64 as of 10/15/2014. He will be sitting down with Doreen from the DMV to figure out the difference in the deposits. Commissioner Cox asks when the 46,403 registrations began when? Chair McKay states from the beginning of the program.

10. REVISION OF THE CURRENT GUIDEBOOK

The Commission will hear discussion of how to revise the current guidebook in order to reflect the current draft regulations. The Grant and Regulation Committee Chairman Charlie Cox will outline the work necessary to change the current Grant Guidebook to reflect the current draft regulations. Possible discussions may include but are not limited to: a different questionnaire (sample is included); additional requirements for financial reporting; landowner approval and authorizations; permit approval process; ensuring that Nevada OHV community is the beneficiary; and other topics mentioned by the Commission. The Commission anticipates a follow-up meeting by the Grant and Regulation Committee to further the goal of updating the Grant Guidebook in the near future. Possible action may include the adoption, amendment, or rejection of any portion of the current Grant Guidebook.

Commissioner Cox states there are several questions come to mind. One being the law enforcement grants, in the NRS it states "as recommended by the office of criminal justice". There needs to be a meeting with the office of Criminal Justice so that everyone is on the same page. There will need to be a sign off sheet from the Office of Criminal Justice somewhere along in the process. There also needs to be proposed ideas for scoring the grants. Once it is known how the grants will be scored, is there a way to score the grant which will differentiate them enough to eliminate a tie? Then work back words and write the application and the grant book. The grant book may not need too many revisions but the application may need some.

Commissioner Lambert states to continue to make the grant guide and the application reflect the regulations and statues.

Commissioner Jackson asks in regards to different applications for different types of grants. Commissioner Cox states that as a Commission that issue needs to be explored. There might be a need to separate guidelines for different grants with different requirements. Commissioner Lambert agrees; different situations have different requirements. Commissioner Baker states in regards to the law enforcement, she does not want to lose control of what is approved. Commissioner Lee states that there is no desire from the office of Criminal Justice to want to take the grant money and do with it what they want. Charise Whitt - Office of Criminal Justice Assistance, states the regulations to say recommend. It is not the intent or desire to tell the Commission what to do with the money. Due to their experience in administering the grants they stated they would help the Commission. The reviewing and scoring would still come from the Commission. There are several details that need to be discussed and worked out between the Commission and the department of Criminal Justice. Commissioner Cox states that once everyone sends in the applications, a copy of the law enforcement ones will be forwarded to the Office of Criminal Justice. They will review them and return them to the Commission with their recommendations. Then begin the scoring process. The committee can meet and decide how this process will work. Charise Whitt agrees and thinks that process will work. Commissioner Cox states that there is not much that can be done until the regulations come back. Commissioner Lambert thinks all of the information is there to work backwards to a guidebook but everyone needs to see how the regulations come back and if there will need to be workshops. Commissioner Cox asks to table this until the regulations are returned.

13. PUBLIC COMMENT

Commissioner Jackson expresses the grief in the passing of Senator Pete Goicoechea. He was a big support of the OHV's. He asks everyone to join him in lamenting the passing of Pete Goicoechea. Secondly he as an agenda item for the next meeting, he would like the Commission to appoint a separate police adviser to take the pressure off Commissioner Lee. This would allow the commission to receive unbiased advice from and adviser who is a police officer and also take Commissioner Lee out of any conflict.

Leo Drumm - BLM, the staff in Carson City would like to start a pilot program. They would like to use the art work and messaging from the website to produce some of their own signs for their kiosks at recreations sites in the Carson City district. The messaging would be similar to what is on the website to remind people to register and provide websites to locate information. Also possibly have fliers to hand out. If a vote is needed to authorize this then he asks that it be placed on the next agenda. They are not asking for any funding. Chair McKay asks Mr. Drumm to send him the letter in writing and he will approve it.

Scott Gerz - Nevada Trail Stewards, thanks the chairman for notifying the lack of a quorum in the previous meeting and withdrawing that meeting. Several times there have been questions and requests from DMV to show an actual budget. He has wondering if that has arrived or when it will be coming. Chair McKay states the audit is looking into certain transfers right now. Also the Commission needs to be clearer on what is need from them. Scott Gerz states there was also a request via Carol for the actual OHV commission accounting to be made transparent on the website. Does DMV provide written information with what they state verbally at the meetings? Kim Miller stated that she has asked Ms. Ribsby for that information and this meeting would have been the first meet where it applies. It will be located under the Documentation tab on the website. Scott Gerz also asked about the teleconference line for this meeting. Kim Miller stated that due to the short notice of this meeting the teleconference line was already occupied. Scott Gerz would like to make a suggestion; the Commission makes a lot of reference to the NRS. It is troubling when the referral to the NRS turns into someone's interpretation of the law. There needs to be an electronic version that can be handed off to Kim Miller and she can read it from the NRS. That way the law is read and not a person's interpretation of the law. Commissioner Jackson states it is available on the internet. Scott Gerz states that the law should be read as is and not how a Commissioner interprets the law; that way there is no confusion.

14. ADJOURNMENT

Commissioner Jackson makes a motion to adjourn. Commissioner Lambert seconds the motion.

Chair McKay calls for the vote.

The meeting is adjourned at 4:15pm